

## CHAPTER V

### CONCLUSION

#### A. Conclusion

Based on the results of research and discussion to Decision Sleman District Court Number 6/PID.SUS/2019/PN.SMN then could conclude that in case this consumer already gets protection law as results from a study that the judge in the verdict state Imron Ghozali Als Imam as perpetrator effort To do harmful violation regulated consumers in Constitution Number 8 of 1999 concerning Protection Consumer Article 8 number 1 letter a, d and e, Article 7 letter a and d, Article 4 letter b and c because has trade oil that doesn't Fulfill or no by required standards and conditions regulation legislation as well as dropped criminal in accordance Article 62 number 1 of the Republic of Indonesia Law no. 8 of 1999 About Protection Consumer jo. Article 55 number 1 of the 1st Criminal Code and Law Number 8 of 1981 concerning the Criminal Procedure Code that is punishing Imam Ghozali Als Imam as a perpetrator effort with criminal prison for 10 (ten) months and a fine an amount of Rp. 2,000,000.00 (two million rupiah) with provision if fine the no paid replaced with criminal confinement for 2 (two) months.

#### B. Suggestion

Based on the conclusion above, the author advises that Legal protection given by the judge to the consumer should be by Article 19 number 1 of Law Number 8 of 1999 concerning Protection Consumer which

in essence change make a loss or replacement goods if there is a loss to consumers. Parties perpetrator effort must more notice what his obligations in operate activity effort and share consumer recommended for careful, selective, and attentive security every chooses products in the form of goods and/ or service, so as not easy Tricked or fooled by the perpetrator less effort responsible answer, and notice the applicable provisions to demand change loss.

