

**JURIDICAL REVIEW OF THE EVIDENCE AND CONSIDERATION OF
BANYUMAS STATE COURT JUDGES IN CRIMINAL ACTIONS
ASSISTING CRIMINAL PREMEDITATED MURDER
(Case Study of Verdict Number 6/Pid.B/2020/PN Bms)**

**By :
Raden Roro Arum Martha Arthani
E1B018004**

ABSTRACT

The existence of provisions regarding evidence and evidence before the trial in proving the defendant's guilt in the crime of assisting in committing premeditated murder can be a consideration by the judge in deciding the crime. The object of this research is Decision Number 6/Pid.B/2020/Pn Bms. The purpose of this study is to find out what evidence is contained in this case and to find out the basis for the judge's considerations in resolving this case. The research method, in this case, is normative juridical with a conceptual approach. The results of this study indicate that the evidence that has been proven before the court regarding valid evidence in the trial in case Number 6/Pid.B/2020/Pn. BMS is by Article 184 paragraph (1) of Law Number 8 of 1981 concerning the Criminal Procedure Code (KUHAP) but based on the facts revealed in the trial the Defendant's fault was only in the form of assistance not as the main mastermind so that in matters concerning the basis of the judge's consideration it was felt not by the punishment that has been determined against the Defendant.

Keywords: Proof, Judge's legal consideration, The crime of assisting in committing premeditated murder