CHAPTER V

CONCLUSION

A. Conclusion

- The Custody compensation's procedure in Wates District Court is based on Law No 2 of 2012 concerning Procurement of Land and Supreme Court Regulation No 2 of 2021 Jo. Supreme Court Regulation No 3 of 2016 concerning procedures for submitting objections and custody of compensation to the district court in procurement of land for development in the public interest. All of the procedure has run according to the rules, from conducting deliberations on determining compensation to depositing and storing compensation in the district court.
- 2. Factors that influenced the parties that entitled to land has not received compensation is the internal factors from society itself, it happened because the land that used for the airport's construction still being disputed ownership, the first problem is, the land that used as the object of compensation has an inheritance dispute. The Second problem is, buying and selling under the hands that have not been carried out in accordance with the law, both land that has been certified and land that has not been certified. If there has been a case regarding a dispute over ownership, based on a court decision, the party designated as the land owner may submit a execution petition to withdraw the compensation.

B. Recommendations

For the society, in the procedure, a price deliberation has been carried out in which the appraisal team has assessed the affected land as well as possible, so that it hoped that when there is development for the public interest, the society can relinquish ownership of their land because it is in the common interest, even though there are various objections, the development will continue. In land acquisition for public interest land ownership is still unclear. So that administratively, someone who owns land must have a certificate which is a valid proof of right to prove legal ownership.

