CHAPTER V

CONCLUSION

A. Conclusion

Based on the results of research and discussion on the Implementation of Restorative Justice in Solving Drug Abuser Cases, it can be concluded as follows:

- 1. The implementation of Restorative Justice related to the Legal Settlement of Narcotics Abuse Crimes, the implementation is different from antoher crime in the provisions. Restorative Justice in Drug Abusers certain procedur has called assessment. Then Restorative Justice can be carried out and then the police issue SP3 (Letter of Termination of Investigation) on the grounds that Restorative Justice is carried out, but in the event that the report is not received, then the case proceeds to the Court. As for Restorative Justice that fails to be carried out in the Police, cases can be transferred to the Prosecutor's Office and processed according to the provisions and procedures of Restorative Justice at the Prosecutor's Office.
- 2. There are five factors of legal effectiveness, but in the implementation of restorative justice, only four factors are obstacles, namely, legal, law enforcer, law enforcement factors, society, and culture.

B. Suggestions

- 1. Restorative Justice regulations are good enough in their arrangements but they are less complete and less detailed so that they can be said to be still partial. A regulation must be so that a draft law is immediately made that specifically regulates legal settlement through Restorative Justice in Drug Crimes. The termination of cases with Restorative Justice is also to be more assertive in its arrangements.
- 2. In addition, law enforcement, society, and culture in the mindset of the community must coordinate with each other, so that the implementation of Restorative Justice and the legal objectives of Restorative Justice can run as expected.