CHAPTER V

CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTIONS

In this chapter, the researcher draws conclusion after discussing the finding of previous chapter which includes the types of discursive strategies and the CDA concept of power, ideology, sociocultural practice and discourse practice within Donald Trump's speech. This chapter presents the conclusion based on the three objectives of the research. The second session, recommendation, the researcher recommends some suggestions for the readers and suggestion related in further research.

5.1 Conclusions

The researcher draws several points of conclusion in the discussion based on the result of the research of Donald Trump's speech, it can be concluded as follows.

1. The researcher finds that Donald Trump uses discursive strategies as proposed by Wodak (2001). Discursive strategies used by Trump in his speech are all applied; they are Nomination, Predication, Argumentation, Perspectivation or Mitigation.

2. In Donald Trump's speech at The 72nd Session of the United Nation General Assembly, the researcher finds four concept of CDA, Power, Ideology, Sociocultural practice and discourse practice also discursive strategies to justify his political action towards North Korea.

From the analysis, it can be concluded that first, Trump empowers his position by leverages his position as the president of the United States, the second,

North Korean abusive act has been the threat to the U.S and its allies and the last the U.S and the world nations have to isolate North Korea if it still continuing its nuclear threat.

In addition, the most salient discursive strategies used in the result of the research is nomination and predication. It indicates that the way Trump uses discursive strategy to justify his political action by giving the attribution both to the U.S and North Korea. These strategies enable the audience to compare the traits that have been attached through these strategies. Trump presents the American values of democracy, human rights and sovereignty and also the UN principal value; meanwhile, North Korea, violate the UN principal and also give a threat to the world's security. Therefore, it can be considered that characterizing the actors by positive and negative traits is an effective way to justify the U.S. political actions. Furthermore, argumentation acts to convince the world about the threat of North Korea and the leaders' responsibility to overcome the problem. Intensification acts to intensifies certain issues about the United States' positive traits and Trump's political view towards North Korea. Meanwhile, perspectivation acts to give Trump's own perspective regarding his capacity as the President of The U.S. to promote The U.S. constitution values. It suggests that those strategies are used to strengthen Trump's political action to isolate North Korea.

5.2 Recommendations

This study of Donald Trump speech has been limited only in CDA studies, specifically regarding with discursive strategies in justifying the United States political action. In this way, opportunity is wide open for other researcher to

conduct a research; therefore, the researcher gives some recommendation that is expected to facilitate and improve further research dealing with CDA analysis.

1. For researchers who would like to conduct a research on CDA, I encourage them to investigate the discursive construction of other topics in Trump' speech. It can focus on one process of discursive strategies which is nomination strategy with deeper analysis.

2. I expect other researcher to explore other phenomenon as object of research. Discursive strategies analysis on CDA is not limited to speech only. Discursive strategies analysis can be applied in any political actions.

3. I also suggest other researcher to investigate the meeting between Donald Trump and Kim Jong Un in Singapore, it can be analyzed from various perspectives using CDA theory.