

## CHAPTER V

### CLOSING

#### A. Conclusion

Based on the results of the research and the discussions presented above, the author may draw the following conclusions:

1. The implementation of restorative justice at the Yogyakarta District Prosecutor's Office encompasses a mediation process between the victim and the perpetrator, aimed at reaching an agreement that accommodates the interests of both parties, such as an apology and compensation. However, in its implementation, there are still several obstacles that need to be addressed, such as concerns from the public regarding the possibility of the perpetrator reoffending, which also stems from the lack of public understanding of restorative justice and the victim's willingness to agree to resolving the case through restorative justice. The basis for the termination of prosecution by the Public Prosecutor is grounded in the theory of legal effectiveness, taking into account the legal factors, law enforcement officers, facilities, society, and cultural aspects, all of which are considered to have been implemented optimally.
2. Legal protection for victims whose cases are resolved through restorative justice at the Yogyakarta District Prosecutor's Office is provided both preventively and repressively. Preventive protection is carried out through dialogue or mediation between the victim and

the perpetrator in order to prevent the recurrence of the offense. This process aims to foster mutual understanding and restore social relations within the community, thereby reducing the risk of future conflict. Repressive protection focuses on restoring the losses experienced by the victim through restitution or compensation, including the rehabilitation of the victim's physical and psychological condition by providing psychological assistance, as well as ensuring that the perpetrator is held accountable for their actions in a manner that supports the victim's recovery.

#### **B. Suggestions**

Based on the conclusions stated above, the suggestions that the author can provide are as follows:

1. It is expected that the Yogyakarta District Prosecutor's Office can further improve the availability of facilities for the implementation of restorative justice in order to ensure it operates more effectively, and is also encouraged to more frequently conduct public outreach or socialization regarding restorative justice so that more members of the community become aware that the resolution of certain criminal cases does not necessarily have to proceed to trial, but may be resolved at the prosecution stage.
2. It is hoped that the public may take a more active role in seeking knowledge about restorative justice, so that in the event of a case

being resolved through restorative justice, it may serve as an alternative for settling the case by mutual agreement and ensure that its implementation runs optimally.

