

## CHAPTER V

### CONCLUSSION AND SUGGESTION

After going through the stages of a very detail discussion with the complex data, the writer searches out several conclusions. Subsequent conclusions are not the summary which shows the discussion steps in few paraphrases, but the meaning of the discussion itself. Following conclusions provide a simple result of this thesis entitled *An Analysis of Refusal Utterances In Yes Man Movie (Socio-Pragmatic Approach)*.

#### 5.1. Conclusions

Based on the result of the research, the writer found some conclusions as follows:

1. The writer found that there are 29 utterances of adjunct refusal with the subtypes, statement of positive opinion (20 utterances), statement of empathy (1 utterance), pause filler (2 utterances), and gratitude (6 utterances). Indirectly refusal has 31 utterances, with the subtypes, statement of regret (2 utterances), wish (1 utterance), excuse or reason (9 utterances), statement of alternative (1 utterance), statement of philosophy (2 utterances), attempt to dissuade interlocutor (7 utterances), acceptance that function as refusal (2 utterances), and avoidance (7 utterances). For directly refusal, there are 16 utterances, with the subtypes, performative (1 utterance), and nonperformative (15 utterances). In this movie, the main character does not use the sub type

strategies set condition for future or past acceptance, promise of future acceptance and statement of principle as the theory proposed by Beebe *et al.*

2. Based on Brown and Levinson theory of politeness strategy, the writer found that in Yes Man Movie the main character uses more off record strategy (27 utterances) in doing refusal than bald on record strategy (19 utterances), negative politeness strategy into (19 utterances), and positive politeness strategy (11 utterances). It implies that the speaker's choice of strategy is very indirect and relies on the interlocutor's perception in interpreting his intended meaning of utterances.
3. The socio-pragmatics field used in this research is in a way of relationship among participant, social status and situational context. Those elements give the influence for the tendency in politeness strategy of refusal usage. It is in line with Oatey (2001:2) who says that the society elements such as social status and situational context linked to the member are socio-pragmatics mainly focuses.

## **5.2. Recommendations**

This research is aimed at getting to know a better understanding of refusal strategies. It can also help the readers to make a better decision or choice and help the readers to be more critical.

This study may also give supporting ideas for conducting further study. The writer expects this research can help the other students who are interested in literary works to get better knowledge about it.

The lack of this research is the limited data, the researcher recommend that the data taken from the real life in order to get rich data. This will influence the result findings to be more beneficial both theoretical and practical.

